United International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (UIJMR) An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal
ISSN: 3048-6726 Impact Factor:6.934(SJIF)Volume-3, Special Issue-10

The Future of Work: Gig Economy and Labour Policy Reforms

Katta Sheshagiri
Research Scholar Department Of Economics Kakatiya University Warangal Telangana
DOI:10.37854/UIJMR.2026.310.344

ABSTRACT
The rapid growth of digital technologies, artificial intelligence, automation, and platform-based employment is transforming the future workforce and the nature of work. The gig economy has emerged as an important component of the modern labour market, offering flexible employment opportunities, additional income, and new forms of entrepreneurship. However, it also presents challenges related to job security, fair wages, social protection, and workers' rights. The changing employment landscape requires a skilled, adaptable, and digitally competent workforce capable of responding to emerging economic and technological demands. This paper examines the relationship between the future workforce, the expansion of the gig economy, and the need for comprehensive labour policy reforms, with particular reference to India. It analyses the limitations of existing labour regulations in addressing platform-based employment and highlights the importance of extending social security benefits, ensuring fair remuneration, promoting gender equality, and protecting gig workers from exploitation. The paper also emphasizes the role of government, employers, digital platforms, and educational institutions in developing inclusive employment policies and skill development programmes. It argues that effective labour reforms are essential to balance technological innovation with workers' welfare and economic security. The study concludes that progressive and inclusive labour policies can promote sustainable employment, social justice, and equitable economic growth in the evolving world of work.

Keywords: Future Workforce, Gig Economy, Labour Policy Reforms, Digital Employment, Social Security, India.

Introduction

The world of work is undergoing a structural transformation driven by digitalisation, artificial intelligence, automation, cloud computing, mobile internet, and data-based business models. Traditional employment, organised around long-term contracts, fixed workplaces, and standard working hours, is increasingly coexisting with flexible, task-based, and digitally mediated forms of work. In this changing environment, the gig economy has become one of the most visible expressions of the future of work. It refers to labour arrangements in which workers perform short-term tasks, projects, or services rather than holding permanent jobs with a single employer. Such work may include food delivery, taxi and bike rides, domestic services, freelance design, content creation, software development, online tutoring, logistics, and micro-tasks performed through digital platforms.

India presents a particularly important case for studying the gig economy because of its large young population, expanding digital infrastructure, growing smartphone penetration, and rapid adoption of digital payments. Platform-based services have created employment opportunities for workers who may otherwise face barriers in the formal labour market. At the same time, the gig economy has exposed major gaps in India's labour protection framework. Many platform workers are classified as independent contractors or partners rather than employees, which often excludes them from benefits such as provident fund, health insurance, paid leave, maternity protection, and compensation for workplace injuries.

The central question, therefore, is not whether the gig economy should be encouraged or restricted. Rather, it is how India can harness the employment and entrepreneurial potential of digital platforms while ensuring decent work, fair earnings, social security, and dignity for workers. This paper examines the future workforce, the expansion of the gig economy, and the need for labour policy reforms in India. It argues that labour law must move beyond the binary distinction between "employee" and "self-employed" and develop a third category of protection for platform-dependent workers.

Conceptualising the Future of Work

The future of work refers to the changing organisation, content, and conditions of employment resulting from technological, economic, demographic, and institutional change. It includes the use of automation and artificial intelligence in production, the growth of

remote and hybrid work, the expansion of digital platforms, and the increasing importance of skills such as digital literacy, problem-solving, communication, and adaptability. The International Labour Organization has highlighted that digital labour platforms are transforming the world of work by changing how tasks are allocated, monitored, and paid for.

Technological change does not simply destroy jobs; it also reorganises them. Automation may reduce demand for routine manual and clerical tasks, while creating demand for workers in data services, logistics, care work, digital marketing, software maintenance, and platform management. Artificial intelligence can improve productivity, but its benefits must be distributed fairly. The ILO has argued that productivity gains arising from AI should be shared through better incomes, improved job quality, and stronger social protection.

The future workforce will therefore require a combination of technical and human capabilities. Technical skills include operating digital tools, understanding basic data, using online financial services, and adapting to new software systems. Human skills include creativity, critical thinking, teamwork, emotional intelligence, and the ability to learn continuously. For India, where a large share of the workforce is employed in informal and low-productivity activities, the challenge is to ensure that technological change does not deepen inequality. A future workforce strategy must therefore link education, vocational training, digital inclusion, and labour protection.

Understanding the Gig Economy

The gig economy is broadly composed of two forms of work. The first is location-based platform work, where workers perform services in a particular geographic area through apps or websites. Examples include ride-hailing drivers, delivery workers, home-service providers, and urban logistics workers. The second is web-based or remote platform work, where tasks are performed online and can be accessed from any location. Examples include freelance writing, graphic design, translation, coding, virtual assistance, online teaching, and data annotation.

Digital platforms act as intermediaries between workers and customers. They use algorithms to allocate work, determine prices, monitor performance, collect customer ratings, and sometimes deactivate workers who do not meet performance targets. This system

is often described as algorithmic management. The ILO defines algorithmic management as the use of algorithmic systems to assign tasks and make decisions with limited human involvement. While such systems can improve efficiency and convenience, they may also reduce workers' autonomy and make it difficult for them to challenge unfair decisions.

The gig economy has several advantages. It lowers entry barriers for workers, allows flexible working hours, creates income opportunities in urban and semi-urban areas, and enables small service providers to reach larger markets. For consumers, platforms offer convenience, speed, and price transparency. For the economy, gig work can support entrepreneurship, improve service delivery, and generate employment in sectors such as transport, hospitality, retail, and personal care.

However, flexibility should not be confused with security. Gig workers often bear the costs of vehicles, fuel, mobile data, insurance, maintenance, and equipment. Their income may fluctuate according to demand, incentives, customer ratings, and platform policies. They may also face long working hours, unsafe roads, absence of rest periods, and limited bargaining power. Thus, the gig economy creates new opportunities but also reproduces many forms of informality in a digital form.

Growth of the Gig Economy in India

India's gig and platform economy has expanded rapidly over the past decade. NITI Aayog estimated that India had 77 lakh, or 7.7 million, gig workers in 2020–21 and projected that the workforce would expand to 2.35 crore, or 23.5 million workers, by 2029–30. This projected expansion would make gig work a significant component of non-agricultural employment and total livelihoods in India.

More recent estimates indicate continued growth. The Economic Survey 2025–26 reported that India's gig workforce increased by 55 per cent between FY21 and FY25, reaching approximately 1.2 crore workers in FY25. The same survey noted that around 40 per cent of gig workers earned less than ₹15,000 per month, highlighting serious concerns about income adequacy and vulnerability. Other industry estimates suggest that India's gig internet workforce could rise from around 6 million at present to between 17 and 21 million by 2030.

The growth of gig work in India is closely linked to urbanisation, e-commerce, digital payments, and consumer demand for quick services. Food delivery, ride-hailing, parcel delivery, and home services have become major sources of platform employment. Yet the sector is not confined to metropolitan cities. Platforms are gradually extending into smaller towns, creating possibilities for local entrepreneurs, women workers, and self-employed individuals to access wider markets. NITI Aayog has recommended linking self-employed producers of regional and rural food products with platforms so that they can sell to larger urban markets.

Despite this growth, official data on gig workers remain limited. Because many workers are treated as independent contractors, they may not appear clearly in conventional employment statistics. NITI Aayog has therefore recommended separate enumeration of gig and platform workers and improved data collection through official surveys. Accurate data are essential for designing welfare schemes, estimating contributions, identifying occupational risks, and evaluating policy outcomes.

Opportunities Created by Gig Work

The gig economy can contribute to inclusive employment if it is regulated thoughtfully. First, it creates flexible income opportunities for students, migrants, women, persons with disabilities, and workers seeking supplementary earnings. A worker may choose when to log in, accept tasks, and combine platform work with education, family responsibilities, or another occupation.

Second, gig platforms can function as pathways to entrepreneurship. A driver, delivery partner, home-service professional, or online seller may use platform access to build a customer base, acquire digital skills, and eventually establish an independent business. Access to credit, insurance, digital payments, and skill training can strengthen this entrepreneurial potential. NITI Aayog has recommended financial products designed specifically for platform workers and improved access to institutional credit.

Third, gig work can reduce information asymmetries in labour markets. Digital platforms can connect workers with customers more efficiently than traditional informal networks. For example, a plumber, electrician, tutor, or beautician may receive steady work through a platform without depending entirely on local word-of-mouth contacts.

Fourth, platform work may support formalisation in limited ways. Digital transactions create records of work, income, and customer interaction. If these records are linked with social security databases, they can help workers access benefits and build verifiable work histories. The use of digital identification and worker registration can therefore become a foundation for inclusive welfare delivery.

Challenges Facing Gig Workers

Employment status and legal ambiguity

The most important challenge is the uncertain employment status of gig workers. Platforms often describe workers as "partners," "independent contractors," or "service providers." This classification allows platforms to avoid obligations associated with employer–employee relationships, such as minimum wages, working-time limits, paid leave, and contribution to social security. Yet many gig workers are economically dependent on one or a few platforms for their income. They may follow platform instructions, accept algorithmically assigned tasks, and face penalties for rejecting work. This creates a contradiction: workers may be treated as independent businesses in law while functioning like supervised workers in practice.

Income insecurity and unfair remuneration

Gig earnings are often variable and opaque. Workers may not know in advance how much they will earn for a particular task after deductions, incentives, fuel costs, and platform commissions. Payment structures may change without adequate consultation. Income insecurity is particularly serious for workers who depend entirely on platform income. The finding that a large share of Indian gig workers earn below ₹15,000 per month demonstrates that flexibility alone does not guarantee a decent standard of living.

Absence of social security

Traditional social security systems in India were designed for formal employees in organised establishments. Gig workers frequently fall outside these systems because they are not recognised as employees. They may lack health insurance, accident compensation, maternity benefits, pension coverage, paid sick leave, and unemployment support. This is especially dangerous for delivery workers and drivers, whose work involves road accidents, physical strain, weather exposure, and irregular schedules.

Algorithmic control and lack of voice

Platform workers are often subject to algorithmic ratings, customer feedback, automatic task allocation, and deactivation. These mechanisms can affect earnings and continued access to work. Workers may have limited opportunity to explain disputes, appeal penalties, or negotiate terms. The ILO has emphasised the need for a "human-in-command" approach to algorithmic management, surveillance, and control so that final decisions affecting work are taken by human beings.

Gender inequality and safety

Women's participation in gig work remains constrained by safety concerns, mobility restrictions, unpaid care responsibilities, digital access gaps, and social norms. Women workers in transport, delivery, and home services may face harassment, unsafe working conditions, and inadequate sanitation or rest facilities. Without gender-sensitive design, gig work may reproduce existing inequalities rather than expand women's economic opportunities.

Skill gaps and digital exclusion

Although gig work is digitally mediated, many workers have limited digital literacy, financial literacy, or access to smartphones and internet connectivity. Workers who cannot understand app interfaces, digital payment systems, tax requirements, or insurance products may be disadvantaged. Skill gaps also prevent workers from moving into higher-value digital work such as software development, data analysis, digital marketing, and online education.

Limitations of India's Existing Labour Framework

India's labour laws evolved mainly to regulate factories, shops, establishments, and formal employment relationships. Laws relating to wages, working hours, industrial disputes, employee provident fund, maternity benefits, and compensation for injuries generally assume the existence of an employer and an employee. This framework does not easily accommodate platform-based work, where the platform may claim to be a technology intermediary rather than an employer.

The Code on Social Security, 2020 represents an important shift because it recognises gig workers and platform workers for the first time in Indian social security law. Sections 113 and 114 extend social security benefits to unorganised, gig, and platform workers and define aggregators as digital intermediaries. The Code also provides for a

National Social Security Board to advise the government on schemes for unorganised, gig, and platform workers.

However, recognition in law is only the first step. Effective protection requires clear rules, adequate funding, simple registration procedures, portable benefits, and strong enforcement. The Code envisages a social security fund financed through contributions from the central government, state governments, and aggregators. Reports indicate that aggregator contributions may be linked to annual turnover, with a cap related to payments made to gig and platform workers. The practical design of these contributions will determine whether the system provides meaningful protection or remains largely symbolic.

Another limitation is the fragmented nature of labour governance. Labour is on the Concurrent List, meaning both the Union and states can legislate. This can create variation in protection across states. Rajasthan has enacted the Rajasthan Platform Based Gig Workers (Registration and Welfare) Act, which provides for registration of gig workers and a welfare fee charged from aggregators on platform transactions. Karnataka has also introduced a draft law proposing a welfare board, welfare cess, worker database, and penalties for non-compliance. These state-level initiatives are significant, but a fragmented approach may lead to uneven rights and administrative complexity for workers who operate across state boundaries.

Labour Policy Reforms for the Gig Economy

Legal recognition of platform-dependent work

India should clearly define platform-dependent gig work as a distinct category of employment. This category should not automatically grant all rights available to formal employees, but it should guarantee a core set of protections. These include minimum earnings standards, accident insurance, health coverage, maternity benefits, pension access, grievance redressal, and protection from arbitrary deactivation.

Legal recognition should focus on economic dependence rather than formal contract labels. If a worker derives a substantial share of income from a platform, follows its operational rules, and has limited bargaining power, the worker should be entitled to basic protection regardless of whether the platform calls the worker an employee or partner.

Universal and portable social security

Social security should be portable across platforms, states, and occupations. A worker may work for multiple apps in a single day or move from one platform to another. Benefits should therefore be attached to the worker, not to a particular employer or platform. A universal registration system, linked with Aadhaar and integrated with the national database for unorganised workers, can enable workers to accumulate entitlements across multiple platforms. The Code on Social Security provides for a National Database for Unorganised Workers with unique identification linked to Aadhaar.

A portable benefits model could include health insurance, accident and disability cover, life insurance, maternity benefits, pension contributions, and income-support during periods of low work availability. Contributions could be shared among workers, platforms, and government. The contribution rate should be realistic and should not reduce workers' take-home earnings to a level that discourages registration.

Fair pay and transparency

Platforms should be required to disclose the basis of payment, including base fare, incentives, commissions, deductions, surge pricing, and cancellation charges. Workers should receive clear information about expected earnings before accepting a task. A minimum earnings floor, calculated after necessary work-related expenses, could help prevent extreme income volatility.

Fair remuneration should also account for waiting time, travel distance, fuel costs, vehicle maintenance, and mobile-data expenses. Regulators can require periodic audits of platform payment structures and establish grievance mechanisms for disputes over payments, ratings, and deactivation.

Regulation of algorithmic management

Labour policy should address algorithmic control directly. Platforms should be required to explain major automated decisions that affect work access or earnings, such as account suspension, low ratings, or denial of incentives. Workers should have the right to human review of such decisions. Data collection should be limited to legitimate operational purposes, and workers should be informed about the data platforms collect.

The ILO's human-in-command approach provides a useful principle: automated systems may assist management, but decisions with significant consequences for workers should be subject to human

oversight. This principle can be incorporated into Indian platform-work regulations through mandatory transparency, appeal mechanisms, and periodic review of algorithmic practices.

Skill development and digital literacy

The future workforce must be prepared not only to perform existing gig tasks but also to move into better-paid and more resilient forms of work. Skill programmes should include digital literacy, financial literacy, safety training, customer communication, basic entrepreneurship, and sector-specific skills. Platform-led skilling can be effective because platforms understand the tasks and skills required in their sectors. NITI Aayog has recommended platform-led, outcome-based skilling and job-creation models.

Educational institutions should integrate digital skills, freelancing, entrepreneurship, and platform-work awareness into vocational and higher education. Short-term certification courses, micro-credentials, and recognition of prior learning can help workers upgrade skills without leaving employment. Skill development should especially target women, first-generation internet users, rural youth, and persons with disabilities.

Gender-sensitive labour policy

Labour reforms should recognise that gig work affects men and women differently. Policies should include safe transport, emergency support, complaint mechanisms, maternity protection, childcare support, and gender-sensitive platform design. Platforms should be encouraged to recruit, retain, and promote women workers through safety measures, flexible scheduling, rest facilities, and mentorship.

NITI Aayog has recommended gender sensitisation, accessibility awareness, financial inclusion for underbanked women, and incentives for inclusive platforms that employ women and persons with disabilities. These measures should be treated as essential components of labour policy rather than optional corporate social responsibility.

Collective bargaining and worker voice

Gig workers need collective voice. Traditional trade unions may find it difficult to organise dispersed workers, but worker associations, cooperatives, and platform-specific unions can play an important role. Labour policy should protect the right of gig workers to organise, communicate collectively, and participate in consultations on pay, safety, and working conditions.

Platforms should be required to establish worker consultation committees or grievance redressal bodies. Such mechanisms should include worker representatives and independent members. Collective voice can improve trust, reduce disputes, and make platform governance more accountable.

Roles of Key Stakeholders

Government

The government should act as a regulator, enabler, and guarantor of basic rights. It should implement the social security provisions for gig and platform workers, create simple registration systems, ensure adequate funding for welfare schemes, and coordinate central and state efforts. It should also collect regular data on gig work through labour-force surveys and establish a dedicated monitoring mechanism for platform employment.

Regulation should be proportionate. Excessive restrictions could discourage innovation and reduce employment opportunities. However, absence of regulation allows exploitation and insecurity. The goal should be "smart regulation": protecting workers' basic rights while preserving flexibility, innovation, and entrepreneurial opportunity.

Digital platforms

Platforms should move beyond a narrow profit-centred approach and adopt responsible business practices. They should ensure transparent pay structures, timely payments, insurance coverage, safety training, accessible grievance mechanisms, and fair treatment of workers. Platforms should also share relevant, anonymised data with regulators to support evidence-based policy.

Platforms can contribute to social security through contributions to welfare funds. They can also provide in-app access to insurance, savings, credit, training modules, and emergency assistance. Responsible platform governance can improve worker retention, service quality, and public legitimacy.

Employers and industry associations

Employers and industry associations should develop sectoral codes of conduct on fair pay, working conditions, safety, and data use. They can collaborate with government and training institutions to design skill standards and certification systems. Industry-led standards can complement legal regulation, especially in fast-changing digital sectors where legislation may lag behind technological practice.

Educational institutions

Universities, polytechnics, industrial training institutes, and skill centres should prepare students for changing labour markets. Curricula should include digital literacy, communication skills, entrepreneurship, financial planning, and awareness of workers' rights. Educational institutions can also conduct research on gig work, evaluate welfare schemes, and provide training to platform workers.

Civil society and worker organisations

Civil society organisations can support worker registration, legal awareness, safety training, and collective organising. They can also document grievances, conduct research, and advocate for stronger protections. Worker organisations should be included in policy-making bodies, including social security boards and welfare boards.

Emerging Indian Initiatives

India has begun to respond to the challenges of gig work through legal and institutional innovation. The Code on Social Security, 2020 is the most important national initiative because it formally recognises gig and platform workers and creates a framework for social security schemes. The proposed funding arrangement involving the Centre, states, and aggregators indicates an attempt to distribute responsibility across public and private actors.

State-level legislation adds another layer of innovation. Rajasthan's law provides for registration of platform-based gig workers and a welfare fee on aggregator transactions. Karnataka's proposed legislation seeks to establish a welfare board, maintain a worker database, impose a welfare cess, and penalise non-compliance. These initiatives show that states can act as laboratories for labour policy reform.

Parliamentary scrutiny has also emphasised mandatory registration of gig workers on a national database and clearer obligations for digital platforms to contribute to social security. Such recommendations reflect a growing political consensus that platform work cannot remain outside the protective framework of the state.

However, implementation remains the central challenge. Welfare boards, registration systems, contribution mechanisms, and grievance procedures must be adequately funded and staffed. Workers must be made aware of their entitlements. Digital platforms must cooperate with registration and reporting requirements. Without

effective implementation, legal recognition may not translate into real security.

Towards an Inclusive Future of Work

The future of work should not be viewed only through the lens of technological efficiency. It must also be viewed through the principles of decent work, social justice, and human dignity. A digital economy that increases convenience for consumers but leaves workers without security is socially unsustainable. Similarly, a labour policy that protects workers but suppresses innovation may reduce employment opportunities. The challenge is to find an institutional balance.

An inclusive future of work requires four interconnected pillars:

- Universal social protection: Every worker, whether formal, informal, gig, or self-employed, should have access to basic health, accident, maternity, pension, and income-security benefits.
- Fair and transparent work: Workers should know how they are paid, how algorithms affect their work, and how they can appeal adverse decisions.
- Continuous skill development: Workers should be able to upgrade skills and move between occupations as technology changes.
- Collective voice and representation: Workers should have institutions through which they can negotiate, raise grievances, and influence platform rules.

India's demographic advantage can become meaningful only if young workers are equipped with relevant skills and protected from precariousness. Gig work can be a bridge to formal employment and entrepreneurship, but it should not become a permanent zone of unprotected labour. The objective should be to make flexibility compatible with security.

Conclusion

The gig economy is reshaping India's labour market and offers important opportunities for flexible employment, additional income, and entrepreneurship. Its growth reflects wider changes in the future of work, including digitalisation, artificial intelligence, automation, and platform-based business models. However, the benefits of this transformation are unevenly distributed. Many gig workers face income insecurity, absence of social protection, algorithmic control, unsafe working conditions, and limited bargaining power.

India has taken an important step by recognising gig and platform workers under the Code on Social Security, 2020. Yet legal recognition must be followed by effective implementation, portable benefits, transparent payment systems, gender-sensitive protections, skill development, and strong worker voice. State-level initiatives in Rajasthan and Karnataka demonstrate the possibility of more targeted regulation, but national coordination is necessary to avoid fragmented protection.

References

Economic Survey of India. (2026). *Economic survey 2025–26*.

Government of India. (2020). *Code on social security, 2020*.

International Labour Organization. (2021). *World employment and social outlook 2021: The role of digital labour platforms in transforming the world of work*. International Labour Office.

NITI Aayog. (2022). *India's booming gig and platform economy: Perspectives and recommendations on the future of work*. Government of India.

Press Information Bureau. (n.d.). *Code on social security, 2020: Towards universal and inclusive social protection*.

Rajasthan Platform Based Gig Workers (Registration and Welfare) Act, 2023. (2023).