United International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (UIJMR)
An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal
ISSN: 3048-6726 | Impact Factor: 6.934 (SJIF)
Volume 3, Special Issue -IX, 2026; Website: www.uijmr.in

GST Reforms in India: A Public Administration Perspective on Livelihoods And Employment

C. Sai Ankitha Reddy,
Department of Studies in Political Science, Vijayanagara Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Ballari – 583101, Karnataka.
Email ID: saiankithareddy2002@gmail.com Contact No. : 6362534054
DOI:10.37854/UIJMR.2026.3.3.9.224

Abstract
The introduction of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) in India in 2017 marked a transformative shift in the country's indirect taxation system, aiming to create a unified national market and enhance administrative efficiency. From a public administration perspective, GST represents a significant reform in governance, characterized by cooperative federalism, digitalization, and institutional coordination between the Union and State governments through the GST Council. This paper examines the impact of GST reforms on livelihoods and employment across both rural and urban sectors.
The study explores how GST has influenced informal and formal employment patterns, particularly affecting small and medium enterprises (SMEs), traders, and daily wage workers. While GST has streamlined tax compliance and improved transparency through digital platforms, it has also posed challenges such as increased compliance costs, technological barriers, and transitional disruptions, especially for small businesses in rural and semi-urban areas. These factors have had mixed implications for employment generation and livelihood security.
From an administrative standpoint, the paper evaluates policy design, implementation mechanisms, and grievance redressal systems under GST. It also highlights the role of capacity building, awareness programs, and institutional responsiveness in ensuring inclusive outcomes. The analysis underscores that while GST has the potential to foster long-term economic growth and formalization of the economy, its short-term impacts on vulnerable sections require careful policy attention.

The paper concludes that effective public administration, adaptive policy frameworks, and targeted support measures are crucial to mitigate adverse effects and enhance the positive impact of GST on livelihoods and employment in India.

Keywords: Goods and Service Tax, Indirect taxation, Unified National Market, Public Administration, Federalism, Digitization, Tax compliance, Employment, Livelihoods.

Introduction

The implementation of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) on 1 July 2017 represents one of the most significant fiscal and administrative reforms in independent India. Introduced through the 101st Constitutional Amendment Act, GST replaced a complex system of multiple indirect taxes levied by the Union and State governments, such as excise duty, service tax, value added tax (VAT), and entry tax. By subsuming these taxes into a single, destination-based tax regime, GST aimed to create a unified national market, reduce cascading effects of taxation, and improve overall economic efficiency.

From a public administration perspective, GST is not merely a tax reform but a structural transformation in governance. It institutionalized cooperative federalism through the GST Council, a unique decision-making body comprising representatives from both the central and state governments. The reform also marked a shift towards digital governance, with the introduction of the GST Network (GSTN), enabling online registration, filing of returns, and tax payments. This digital infrastructure has enhanced transparency, accountability, and ease of doing business, while also posing challenges for digitally less-equipped stakeholders.

The introduction of GST has had far-reaching implications for livelihoods and employment across sectors. By promoting formalization of the economy, GST has influenced labor markets, particularly in small and medium enterprises (SMEs), the informal sector, and rural economies. While it has opened opportunities for improved compliance and business expansion, it has also created transitional challenges such as compliance burdens, increased operational costs, and disruptions in supply chains.

In this context, examining GST through a public administration lens is crucial to understand how policy design, implementation capacity, and institutional mechanisms shape its impact on employment and livelihood outcomes in India.

Research Problem of the Study

The implementation of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) was intended to simplify India's indirect tax system, promote economic integration, and enhance administrative efficiency. However, despite its long-term objectives, the reform has generated mixed outcomes, particularly in terms of its impact on livelihoods and employment across different sectors. While GST has contributed to formalization and improved transparency, it has also created significant challenges for small businesses, informal workers, and rural economies due to increased compliance requirements, technological barriers, and transitional disruptions.

From a public administration perspective, the core research problem lies in understanding the gap between GST's policy design and its implementation outcomes. Specifically, there is a need to critically examine how administrative capacity, institutional coordination, digital governance, and policy responsiveness influence the effectiveness of GST in achieving inclusive economic growth. The problem also involves assessing whether existing administrative mechanisms adequately address the needs of vulnerable groups affected by the reform. Therefore, this study seeks to investigate how GST, as a major governance reform, shapes livelihoods and employment, and to what extent public administration systems are capable of ensuring equitable and efficient outcomes across diverse regions and sectors in India.

Objectives

1.To understand how GST has been designed and implemented from a public administration perspective.

2.To evaluate the challenges faced due to the implementation of GST on livelihoods and employment.

3.To identify issues such as compliance burden, technological barriers, and increased costs affecting micro, small, and medium enterprises.

4.To suggest policy measures for inclusive growth

5.To recommend strategies that can minimize negative impacts and enhance GST's benefits for employment and livelihood security.

Importance of the Study The study is significant as it examines the Goods and Services Tax (GST), one of India's most comprehensive fiscal reforms, from a public

administration perspective. It helps in understanding how large-scale policy changes are designed, implemented, and managed through institutional mechanisms such as cooperative federalism and digital governance. By analyzing the administrative framework, the study highlights the role of coordination between central and state governments, transparency in tax systems, and the efficiency of digital platforms like GSTN. This makes the research valuable for evaluating governance practices and improving policy implementation in India.

Further, the study is important for assessing the impact of GST on livelihoods and employment, particularly among small businesses, informal workers, and rural populations. It provides insights into how tax reforms influence income generation, job opportunities, and the shift towards formalization of the economy. By identifying challenges such as compliance burdens and technological barriers, the study contributes to developing inclusive policy measures that support vulnerable sections. Overall, it holds both academic and practical relevance by offering guidance to policymakers, administrators, and researchers in promoting equitable and sustainable economic development.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative and analytical research design to examine the impact of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) on livelihoods and employment from a public administration perspective. The research primarily relies on secondary data sources, including government reports, policy documents, academic journals, and institutional publications. Key sources include reports from the Ministry of Finance, GST Council, Reserve Bank of India, and NITI Aayog. These sources provide factual and up-to-date information on GST implementation, policy changes, and economic indicators.

The methodology involves descriptive and analytical techniques to interpret data related to employment patterns, livelihood changes, and administrative performance. A comparative approach is also used to assess differences in the impact of GST across rural and urban areas, as well as formal and informal sectors. Additionally, the study applies a public administration framework focusing on governance aspects such as policy implementation, institutional coordination, digital infrastructure, and service delivery mechanisms.

Where relevant, case-based observations (such as small businesses and SMEs) are used to illustrate ground-level challenges. The study also incorporates policy analysis methods to evaluate the effectiveness of GST in achieving its objectives and to identify gaps in

implementation. Overall, the methodology aims to provide a comprehensive and evidence-based understanding of how GST reforms influence livelihoods and employment, and the role of public administration in shaping these outcomes.

Analysis

1.Institutional Innovation and Cooperative Federalism:

GST represents a major administrative reform through the creation of the GST Council, which institutionalizes cooperative federalism. It enables joint decision-making, but also reveals challenges in consensus-building and balancing diverse state interests.

2.Shift towards Digital Governance:

The introduction of GSTN reflects a move toward e-governance, improving transparency, efficiency, and real-time monitoring. However, it also exposes administrative gaps in digital infrastructure and capacity, especially in rural areas.

3.Policy Design vs Implementation Gap:

While GST is designed as a simplified tax system, its multiple tax slabs and frequent changes have complicated implementation. This highlights a gap between policy formulation and ground-level administrative execution.

4.Capacity of Administrative Machinery:

Effective GST implementation depends on trained personnel and institutional capacity. Variations in administrative efficiency across states affect uniform enforcement and service delivery.

5.Regulatory Burden and Compliance Management:

From an administrative viewpoint, ensuring compliance across millions of taxpayers is a significant challenge. The system requires strong monitoring mechanisms, which can strain administrative resources.

6.Impact on Informal Sector Governance:

GST attempts to formalize the informal economy, but public administration faces difficulties in integrating informal workers and small enterprises into the formal system without disrupting livelihoods.

7.Grievance Redressal and Citizen Interface:

Efficient public service delivery under GST requires robust grievance redressal systems. Delays and inefficiencies in addressing taxpayer concerns indicate weaknesses in administrative responsiveness.

8.Transparency and Accountability Mechanisms:GST has improved transparency through digital tracking and invoice matching. However, maintaining accountability requires continuous monitoring, data accuracy, and inter-agency coordination.

9.Regional Administrative Disparities:

Differences in infrastructure, digital access, and governance capacity across states lead to uneven implementation, raising concerns about administrative equity.

10.Need for Adaptive Governance:

GST demonstrates the importance of flexible and responsive administration. Continuous reforms, stakeholder engagement, and capacity-building initiatives are essential for improving policy outcomes and ensuring inclusive growth.

Challenges

1.Coordination Issues in Cooperative Federalism:

Although GST promotes cooperative federalism, differences in priorities between the Union and State governments sometimes lead to delays in decision-making and policy conflicts within the GST Council.

2.Institutional Capacity Constraints:

Many tax administration bodies, especially at the state and local levels, face shortages of trained personnel and resources, affecting efficient implementation and monitoring.

3.Digital Governance Challenges:

The reliance on the GST Network (GSTN) has exposed gaps in digital infrastructure, system glitches, and limited digital literacy among administrators and taxpayers.

4.Complexity in Policy Design:

Multiple tax slabs, frequent rule changes, and classification issues make GST administration complex, creating confusion for both officials and taxpayers.

5.Weak Grievance Redressal Mechanisms:

Inefficiencies in addressing taxpayer grievances, delays in resolving disputes, and lack of accessible support systems reduce public trust in the system.

6.Compliance Monitoring Difficulties:

Ensuring compliance across a vast and diverse economy is challenging, particularly in tracking informal sector activities and preventing tax evasion.

7.Frequent Policy Changes and Uncertainty:

Continuous amendments in GST rules and rates create administrative uncertainty, making it difficult for officials to ensure consistent implementation.

8.Limited Awareness and Training Programs:
Insufficient training for tax officials and lack of awareness campaigns for taxpayers hinder smooth execution, especially in rural and semi-urban areas.
9.Accountability and Transparency Issues:
While GST aims to improve transparency, gaps in data sharing, reporting mechanisms, and administrative oversight can still limit accountability.
10.Regional Disparities in Administrative Efficiency:
Variations in administrative capacity across states lead to uneven implementation, affecting the uniformity and effectiveness of GST across the country.

Policy Recommendations

1.Strengthen Cooperative Federalism Mechanisms:
Enhance the functioning of the GST Council by promoting greater consultation, timely decision-making, and conflict resolution mechanisms to balance Union–State interests effectively.
2.Simplify GST Structure and Procedures:
Rationalize tax slabs and reduce frequent policy changes to make the system more predictable, thereby easing administrative implementation and taxpayer compliance.
3.Enhance Administrative Capacity and Training:
Invest in continuous training programs for tax officials at all levels to improve efficiency, uniformity, and professionalism in GST administration.
4.Improve Digital Infrastructure and Accessibility:
Strengthen the GSTN platform by minimizing technical glitches and expanding digital infrastructure, especially in rural areas, to ensure smooth and inclusive access.
5.Strengthen Grievance Redressal Systems:
Establish faster, transparent, and user-friendly grievance mechanisms to address taxpayer issues efficiently and build trust in the system.
6.Targeted Support for SMEs and Informal Sector:
Introduce simplified compliance procedures, awareness programs, and financial support measures to help small businesses and informal workers adapt to GST.
7.Promote Awareness and Outreach Programs:
Conduct regular awareness campaigns and workshops to educate taxpayers about GST procedures, benefits, and compliance requirements.

8.Ensure Timely Refunds and Reduce Delays:

Streamline administrative processes to ensure quick processing of refunds, thereby reducing working capital constraints for businesses.

9.Strengthen Monitoring and Evaluation Mechanisms:

Develop robust data-driven monitoring systems to track implementation, identify gaps, and ensure accountability in GST administration.

10.Address Regional Disparities in Implementation:

Provide special administrative support and resources to less-developed states and rural areas to ensure uniform and equitable implementation of GST across the country.

Conclusion

The Goods and Services Tax (GST) represents a landmark reform in India's fiscal and administrative landscape, reflecting a shift towards unified taxation, cooperative federalism, and digital governance. From a public administration perspective, GST has significantly improved transparency, streamlined tax processes, and strengthened institutional coordination between the Union and State governments. These developments have contributed to the formalization of the economy and have the potential to enhance long-term economic growth, livelihoods, and employment generation.

However, the reform has also exposed several administrative and implementation challenges, including compliance burdens, technological barriers, institutional capacity constraints, and regional disparities. The impact on small businesses, informal workers, and rural populations highlights the need for a more inclusive and responsive governance approach. Therefore, the success of GST depends not only on its policy design but also on effective public administration characterized by adaptability, capacity building, and citizen-centric service delivery. A balanced approach that addresses these challenges while strengthening institutional mechanisms will be crucial to ensuring that GST achieves its objectives of equitable growth, improved livelihoods, and sustainable employment in India.

References

Government of India. (2017). The Constitution (One Hundred and First Amendment) Act, 2016. New Delhi: Ministry of Law and Justice.

Government of India. (2017). Goods and Services Tax (GST) Acts and Rules. New Delhi: Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs (CBIC).

GST Council Secretariat. (Various Years). GST Council Meeting Reports and Decisions. New Delhi.

NITI Aayog. (2019). Impact of GST on Indian Economy. New Delhi.

Reserve Bank of India. (Annual Reports, various years). Macroeconomic Impact of GST. Mumbai.

Ministry of Finance. (2018–2024). Economic Survey of India. New Delhi: Government of India.

World Bank. (2018). India Development Update: GST and Economic Transformation. Washington, DC.

International Monetary Fund. (2018). India: Selected Issues—Tax Reforms and GST. Washington, DC.

Purohit, M. C. (2017). Goods and Services Tax in India: An Assessment. Economic and Political Weekly, 52(1), 45–53.

Ehtisham Ahmad & Poddar, S. (2018). GST Reforms and Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations in India. Journal of Public Economics.

Rao, M. Govinda & Mukherjee, S. (2019). GST in India: Design, Implementation and Challenges. National Institute of Public Finance and Policy (NIPFP).

National Institute of Public Finance and Policy. (2019). GST and Fiscal Federalism in India. New Delhi.