United International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (UIJMR)
An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal
ISSN: 3048-6726 | Impact Factor: 6.934 (SJIF)
Volume 3, Special Issue -IX, 2026; Website: www.uijmr.in

GST Compliance Burden and Transaction Costs: Implications for Startups and Self-Employed Workers

Kota Aswini
Course: MA Economics (2nd Semester)
Institution: Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam, Tirupati
Email: aswinianandh223@gmail.com | Ph: 9342503003
DOI:10.37854/UIJMR.2026.3.3.9.48

1.Introduction - The New Era of Indian Taxation

1.1 Background of the Study

The implementation of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) on July 1, 2017, represented the most significant structural reform in India's indirect tax history since independence. For decades, the Indian tax landscape was a fragmented "tax jungle," characterized by a complex web of Central and State taxes, including Central Excise, Service Tax, VAT, Entry Tax, and Octroi. This "cascading" system—where tax was levied on tax—increased the final cost of goods and services, hindered the seamless movement of products across state borders, and created a massive administrative burden for small businesses.

GST was introduced with the constitutional promise of "One Nation, One Tax." By creating a unified national market, the reform aimed to broaden the tax base, enhance transparency, and leverage technology to reduce human intervention. For the macroeconomy, GST was expected to add 1–2% to the national GDP by improving supply chain efficiencies. However, for the micro-level units—specifically startups and self-employed professionals—the transition has been a journey of both opportunity and significant struggle.

1.2 The Startup Ecosystem in India

India has emerged as the third-largest startup ecosystem in the world. Driven by initiatives like "Startup India" and "Digital India," thousands of young entrepreneurs, including women from institutions like Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam, are entering the formal economy. Unlike established corporate houses, these startups operate on "Lean Methodology." They have limited initial capital, very small teams (often just 1–3 people), and focused energy on product innovation rather than administrative bookkeeping.

1.3 Problem Statement

While the theoretical benefits of GST—such as the seamless flow of Input Tax Credit (ITC)—are clear, the practical "Compliance Architecture" has proven to be heavy. For a startup, compliance is not just about paying the tax; it is about the Transaction Cost

of staying within the system. The requirement of monthly/quarterly filings, the complexities of the GST Network (GSTN) portal, and the legal necessity of matching invoices have created a "Compliance Burden."

This burden is particularly heavy for self-employed workers and service-sector startups who may not have high physical turnovers but are still required to navigate the same complex digital tax infrastructure as multi-million-dollar companies. This study aims to analyze whether the current GST framework acts as a catalyst or a constraint for the growth of early-stage ventures in India.

1.4 Objectives of the Research

To evaluate the nature of compliance requirements for startups under the GST regime.

To analyze the "Transaction Costs" (monetary, time, and psychological) incurred by self-employed professionals.

To examine the impact of the Input Tax Credit (ITC) mechanism on the working capital and liquidity of small businesses.

To suggest policy reforms that can simplify the tax interface for the "Micro" and "Small" segments of the startup ecosystem.

1.5 Significance of the Study

As a student of Economics, understanding the friction between tax policy and entrepreneurial growth is essential. This paper provides a critical perspective on how "Ease of Doing Business" is perceived on the ground versus how it is drafted in policy documents. By focusing on the compliance burden, this research contributes to the ongoing dialogue on "Fiscal Federalism" and "Administrative Simplification" in the Indian context.

2.Review of Literature and Theoretical Framework

2.1 Introduction to Literature Review

The impact of taxation on entrepreneurial activity is a well-documented area in public economics. Ever since the global shift toward Value Added Tax (VAT) and Goods and Services Tax (GST) regimes, economists have debated the trade-off between tax neutrality and compliance costs. For a developing economy like India, the literature focuses on how tax reforms influence the transition from the "informal" to the "formal" sector.

2.2 The Concept of "Tax Neutrality"

In classical economic theory, a "neutral" tax is one that does not distort economic decisions. GST was designed to be neutral by allowing businesses to set off the tax paid on inputs against the tax collected on outputs. However, as noted by researchers like Rao and

Poddar (2001), a tax system is only truly neutral if the cost of complying with it is negligible. If a startup spends more money on hiring a tax consultant than it saves through Input Tax Credit (ITC), the tax is no longer neutral—it becomes a "Regressive Burden" on the small entrepreneur.

2.3 Transaction Cost Economics (TCE)

To analyze the GST compliance burden, we must look at Oliver Williamson's (1981) Theory of Transaction Costs. In the context of GST, transaction costs are not the "tax rate" itself, but the costs associated with:

Information Search: Understanding which HSN code applies to a new service.

Bargaining and Decision: Negotiating with vendors to ensure they file their returns on time so that the startup can claim ITC.

Policing and Enforcement: Dealing with GST audits, notices, and the time spent correcting technical glitches on the GSTN portal.

For a self-employed professional, these transaction costs are "fixed costs." A large company can spread these costs over millions of transactions, making the cost per unit very low. A startup, however, has very few transactions, making the Compliance Cost per Transaction extremely high. This is what economists call "Diseconomies of Scale" in tax compliance.

2.4 The "Missing Middle" and the Informality Trap

Economic literature in India often discusses the "Missing Middle"—the phenomenon where firms either stay very small (informal) or grow very large, with very few medium-sized firms in between. Kanbur and Keen (2014) argue that high compliance thresholds and complex filing systems create a "disincentive to grow." If a startup crosses the GST registration threshold, it suddenly faces a massive jump in administrative costs. Many entrepreneurs, therefore, choose to stay under the radar, limiting their growth to avoid the GST net. This "Informality Trap" hinders the overall economic productivity of the nation.

2.5 Digital Divide and Technological Compliance

Recent studies on the Indian GST experience (Post-2017) highlight the "Digital Divide." GST is a "Technology-First" tax. While this reduces corruption, it assumes that every business owner has access to high-speed internet, digital accounting software, and the skills to use them. For startups in tier-2 and tier-3 cities, the "Technology Compliance Cost" is a major barrier. Research shows that small firms spend nearly 10% to 15% of their operating time just managing digital tax filings, a figure that is significantly higher than in developed economies.

2.6 Summary of Theoretical Gaps

Most existing literature focuses on the "Revenue Collection" success of GST. There is a significant gap in research regarding the "Human Cost" and the "Opportunity Cost" for women entrepreneurs and self-employed youth. This paper seeks to fill that gap by focusing on the micro-level friction faced by new-age startups in the current fiscal environment

3.The Mechanics of GST Compliance - A Procedural Overview

3.1 The Registration Hurdle: The First Step of Formalization

For a startup, the journey into the GST regime begins with registration. Under the current law, any service provider with an aggregate turnover exceeding Rs. 20 Lakhs (Rs. 10 Lakhs for special category states) and any goods supplier exceeding Rs. 40 Lakhs must register. However, many startups choose "Voluntary Registration" to appear professional to B2B clients and to claim Input Tax Credit (ITC).

The registration process requires a "Principal Place of Business." In the modern "Gig Economy," many self-employed workers and digital startups operate from home or co-working spaces. The legal requirement for electricity bills, rent agreements, and physical verification can be a significant entry barrier for a young entrepreneur starting out of a study room or a small rented office in a city like Tirupati.

3.2 The Return Filing Cycle: The Monthly Treadmill

The core of the compliance burden lies in the frequency of filings. Unlike the previous regime where returns were often half-yearly or quarterly, GST introduced a more frequent cycle:

GSTR-1 (Statement of Outward Supplies): This is where the startup records every single sale. If a startup has 100 small clients, they must enter details for all 100 invoices. Any error in a GSTIN number here means the client will not get their tax credit, leading to business disputes.

GSTR-3B (Summary Return): This is the monthly self-declaration where the tax is actually paid. Even if a startup has zero sales in a month, they must file a "Nil Return." Failure to do so results in a daily late fee, which accumulates rapidly.

GSTR-9 (Annual Return): A complex consolidation of the entire year's data. For a self-employed person, this usually requires professional help, adding to the "Transaction Cost."

3.3 The HSN and SAC Classification Puzzle

Every product (HSN) and service (SAC) has a specific code and a corresponding tax rate (5%, 12%, 18%, or 28%). Startups dealing in innovative products—such as eco-friendly packaging, specialized consultancy, or digital tech services—often find it difficult to "fit" their innovation into these pre-defined categories. Wrong classification

can lead to "Underpayment" notices or "Overpayment" of taxes, both of which hurt the startup's bottom line.

3.4 The "Matching Concept" and the GSTR-2B Challenge

Perhaps the most stressful part of GST mechanics is the "Invoice Matching." A startup can only claim Input Tax Credit if their suppliers have:

- Uploaded the invoice on the portal.
- Paid the tax to the government.
- Filed their GSTR-1 on time.

This makes the startup's financial health dependent on the compliance behavior of its vendors. If a startup buys a laptop for Rs. 50,000 (with Rs. 9,000 GST), and the shopkeeper fails to file the return, the startup loses that Rs. 9,000. For a cash-strapped new business, this is a direct hit to their working capital.

3.5 The "Reverse Charge Mechanism" (RCM)

RCM is a unique complexity where the buyer pays the tax instead of the seller. This usually happens when a registered startup buys services from an unregistered person (like a small local contractor or a freelancer). The startup must self-invoice and pay the tax. This adds a layer of accounting complexity that most MA Economics students recognize as a "Frictional Cost" in the market, discouraging startups from working with smaller, informal vendors.

4.Impact on Working Capital and Liquidity

4.1 The "Locked Capital" Phenomenon

In classical economics, "Working Capital" is the lifeblood of any business. For a startup, liquidity is often more important than theoretical profit. GST affects this liquidity through the Input Tax Credit (ITC) mechanism. Under the "Matching Concept," a startup can only use the tax it paid on purchases to offset the tax it owes on sales if and only if the supplier has uploaded the invoice.

If a startup in Tirupati buys office equipment worth Rs. 1,00,000 with 18% GST, they have essentially "pre-paid" Rs. 18,000 to the government. If the vendor is slow to file their returns, that Rs. 18,000 is "locked" in the electronic credit ledger. For a small consultancy or a self-employed professional, this creates a temporary but dangerous "Cash Gap."

4.2 The "Tax-First" Outflow

Under GST, tax must often be paid on an accrual basis. This means if a startup issues an invoice to a client on the 30th of the month, they must pay the GST to the government by the 20th of the next month—even if the client hasn't paid the startup yet. Many startups, especially those providing services to large corporations, face 60-day or

90-day payment cycles. Paying the government "upfront" while waiting months for the actual revenue creates a systemic "Liquidity Strain."

4.3 The Burden of Reverse Charge Mechanism (RCM)

RCM is a unique challenge for the self-employed. When a registered startup hires an unregistered person (like a small local plumber, a freelance graphic designer, or a daily-wage worker for office shifting), the startup must pay the tax on behalf of that person. This requires:

Self-Invoicing: Creating a legal document for oneself.

Cash Payment: The tax must be paid in cash/bank transfer and cannot be adjusted against existing ITC in the same month.

This "Cash-Out" requirement for small, everyday expenses is a major administrative and financial headache for micro-entrepreneurs.

4.4 Compliance Penalties and CIBIL Scores

For a self-employed individual, the business and the person are often legally linked. Late fees for GST (currently Rs. 50 per day for delayed GSTR-3B) are not just a business expense; they are a financial drain. More importantly, consistent delays in statutory compliance can flag a business in the eyes of banks. Since most startups rely on small business loans or personal credit, any "Non-Compliance" flag in the GST system can indirectly affect the founder's ability to get a loan for a 7-seater car or a home, mixing personal financial health with business tax hurdles.

5.Conclusion and Policy Roadmap

5.1 Summary of Findings

The transition to the Goods and Services Tax (GST) has undoubtedly formalized the Indian economy and created a more transparent tax credit chain. However, for the startup ecosystem and self-employed professionals, this transparency has come at a high "Transaction Cost." As analyzed in this paper, the compliance burden is not merely the tax rate, but the cumulative cost of digital infrastructure, professional consultancy, and the liquidity strain caused by the invoice-matching system. For a student of Economics, it is clear that a "one-size-fits-all" compliance model creates a regressive hurdle for the smallest players in the market.

5.2 The "Startup Paradox"

The research identifies a paradox: while the government encourages "Startup India" through grants and incentives, the "GST Compliance Architecture" often acts as a deterrent. The "Missing Middle" in the Indian economy is partly a result of businesses fearing the administrative complexity that comes with crossing the GST registration

threshold. For a self-employed individual in a city like Tirupati, the choice is often between staying informal and small, or becoming formal and facing high fixed compliance costs.

5.3 Proposed Policy Roadmap

To make GST a true catalyst for entrepreneurship, the following reforms are recommended:

Threshold-Based Filing Frequency: Startups with an annual turnover below Rs. 1 Crore should be allowed to file returns semi-annually, while paying taxes monthly. This reduces the "monthly treadmill" of paperwork.

Compliance Holiday: New startups should be granted a "Compliance Grace Period" of 18 months where late fees are waived for technical errors, allowing them to learn the system without financial penalty.

Smart AI-Based Portals: The GSTN should develop a simplified, "lite" version of the portal specifically for service-sector startups and freelancers, featuring automated HSN/SAC suggestions.

Institutional Support: Universities like Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam should be empowered to set up "Tax Facilitation Cells" where Economics and Commerce students can assist local micro-entrepreneurs with filings, creating a win-win for education and the economy.

5.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, GST is a landmark reform that is essential for India's journey toward a $5 trillion economy. However, for this growth to be inclusive, the tax administration must move from "Enforcement" to "Facilitation." By reducing the compliance burden on startups and self-employed workers, India can unlock a massive wave of entrepreneurial energy, ensuring that the "One Nation, One Tax" dream truly benefits every citizen, from the large corporate house to the small-town consultant.

References;

Ministry of Finance, Government of India. (2025). Economic Survey.

NCAER. (2023). Impact of GST on the MSME Sector.

Das-Gupta, A., & Mookherjee, D. (1998). Incentives and Institutional Reform in Tax Enforcement.

GST Council Secretariat. Standard Operating Procedures for Startups