Publications
United International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (UIJMR)
An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal
ISSN: 3048-6726 www.uijmr.in Impact Factor: 5.446(SJIF) Vol-2,Issue 1 (Jan-March),2025

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND THE LABOUR MARKET: AN EXPLORATION OF TRENDS AND FACTS

Mandula Venkanna
Asst. Professor of Economics
Kakatiya Government College (Autonomous), Hanamkonda, TG
E-mail: vkmandula@gmail.com

Article Received:06-02-2025 Article Modified:25-03-2025
Article Accepted:28-03-2025 Article Published:30-03-2025
DOI:10.37854/UIJMR.2025.2.1.147

Abstract

The brisk proliferation of artificial intelligence (AI) and generative AI (GenAI) technologies is radically transforming the global labour market ecosystem. Grounded in a task-based framework, this paper analyzes three interrelated socio-economic dimensions of this transformation: job displacement versus augmentation across economic sectors, the dynamics of skill-biased wage inequality, and the regulatory challenges of algorithmic management within the gig economy. Using empirical literature up to 2026, we demonstrate that while automation AI accelerates the displacement of structured cognitive and routine manual tasks, augmentation AI reinstates human labour by establishing collaborative workflows, primarily in high-skilled domains. Consequently, this asymmetric technological footprint exacerbates labour market polarization, widening the wage gap between high-skilled technical professionals and low-skilled workers. Concurrently, in the gig economy, AI-driven algorithms act as decentralized managerial architectures that dictate labour supply while systematically undercutting worker rights and autonomy through surveillance-backed asymmetric data distribution. The paper concludes by presenting an integrated policy framework centred on proactive upskilling, portable benefit structures, and algorithmic transparency to ensure equitable labour market transitions.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Labour Market, Job Displacement, Augmentation, Wage Inequality, Algorithmic Management, Gig Economy, EU AI Act)

1. Introduction

The global economic architecture is undergoing a structural paradigm shift driven by advancements in machine learning, deep neural networks, and generative artificial intelligence. Historically, technological revolutions—from the steam engine to third-wave computing—primarily substituted manual labour while augmenting cognitive activities. In contrast, modern AI ecosystems directly target structured cognitive capabilities, white-collar tasks, and analytical problem-solving fields, defying historical precedents of technological transitions.

This systemic transformation generates deep labour anxieties, balancing the optimistic vision of productivity-driven economic expansion against the bleak reality of systemic structural unemployment, wage polarization, and labour precarity. To evaluate these dynamics, this research investigates the structural mechanism of AI integration through a multi-dimensional analysis, emphasizing:

- Sectoral vulnerabilities to automation versus augmentation.
- The expanding income and skill premium gap.
- Algorithmic governance models defining the modern gig economy.

2. Microeconomic Foundations: The Task-Based Displacement Model

To formalize the economic impact of AI on employment and wages, we utilize the canonical task-based framework pioneered by Acemoglu and Restrepo. Instead of treating production as a direct combination of aggregate capital and monolithic labour, the economy's total output Y is produced by combining a continuum of normalized discrete tasks i mapped onto the interval $[0, 1]$.

2.1 The Production Function: The aggregate production function utilizes a Constant Elasticity of Substitution (CES) structure across tasks:

$$Y = \left[\int_{0}^{1} y(i)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} di \right]^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$$

Where:

- ✓ Y is the aggregate final output.
- ✓ y(i) represents the intermediate output or performance level of task i.
- ✓ $\sigma \in (0, \infty)$ is the macroeconomic elasticity of substitution between distinct tasks.

2.2 Task Production and Factor Allocation

Each specific task i can be produced via human labour L(i) or automated capital K(i). The technological capability dictates factor allocation based on a time-varying threshold $I \in (0, 1)$, which reflects the extensive margin of automation:

$$y(i) = \begin{cases} \alpha_L(i) L(i) + \alpha_K(i) K(i) & \text{if } i \le I \\ \alpha_L(i) L(i) & \text{if } i > I \end{cases}$$

Publications
United International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (UIJMR)
An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal
ISSN: 3048-6726 www.uijmr.in Impact Factor: 5.446(SJIF) Vol-2,Issue 1 (Jan-March),2025

Where $\alpha_L(i)$ and $\alpha_K(i)$ represent the task-specific productivity coefficients of labour and capital, respectively. Tasks on the interval $[0, I]$ are technically automated and can be executed by machines, whereas tasks on the interval $(I, 1]$ are non-automatable and require human labour.

2.3 Structural Cost Minimization & Factor Prices

Firms minimize costs by assigning tasks dynamically to either capital (K) or labour (L). Let W represent the nominal wage of labour, and R represent the user cost (rental price) of capital equipment running AI workflows. The marginal cost of producing task i is defined explicitly as:

$$c(i) = \min \left\{ \frac{W}{\alpha_L(i)}, \frac{R}{\alpha_K(i)} \right\}$$

Because artificial intelligence expands capital capabilities across cognitive domains, we define a threshold $I \in (0,1)$. For all tasks $i \le I$, AI capital possesses a clear cost advantage over human labour:

$$\frac{W}{\alpha_L(i)} > \frac{R}{\alpha_K(i)} \quad \forall i \le I$$

Conversely, for all tasks $i > I$, human specialized skills remain economically superior due to emotional intelligence, high-level abstraction, or creative strategy requirements:

$$\frac{W}{\alpha_L(i)} < \frac{R}{\alpha_K(i)} \quad \forall i > I$$

2.4 Mathematical Derivation of the Displacement Effect

When a firm deploys an automation algorithm, the extensive margin of automation shifts from I to I'. Human workers are displaced from the task interval $[I, I']$. Holding aggregate output Y constant, the structural drop in total labour demand (L^D) is proportional to the cost differential of the newly automated tasks:

$$\Delta L^D_{\text{displacement}} = - \int_{I}^{I'} \left[\frac{\gamma(i)}{\alpha_L(i)} \right] \cdot \left(\frac{c(i) Y}{W} \right) di$$

Where $\gamma(i)$ is the structural task-share distribution weight. This mathematical drop drives the *downward wage pressure* and structural polarization observed in routine cognitive fields.

2.5 Mathematical Derivation of the Reinstatement Solution

To counteract this displacement effect, policy interventions focus on expanding the extensive margin of human tasks from baseline 1 to an enhanced boundary 1+N. The introduction of these highly complex, non-automatable tasks (N) shifts the aggregate factor distribution back toward human labour.

The structural creation of new tasks yields a positive labour demand shock:

$$\Delta L^D_{\text{reinstatement}} = \int_{1}^{1+N} \left[\frac{\gamma(i)}{\alpha_L(i)} \right] \cdot \left(\frac{c(i) Y}{W} \right) di$$

2.6 General Equilibrium Solved for Labour Value Share (s_L)

In general equilibrium, the aggregate labour income share ($s_L \equiv \frac{WL}{Y}$) is mathematically determined by the ratio of automated tasks to newly reinstated tasks:

$$s_L = \left[1 + \left(\frac{1 - (I' - I) + N}{I' - I} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{W/R}{\bar{\alpha}_L / \bar{\alpha}_K} \right)^{1-\sigma} \right]^{-1}$$

- **Conclusion:** If I' - I > N, the capital income share surges, wage inequality expands, and labour market polarization worsens.

- **The Mathematical Solution:** Targeted micro-credential training and AI upskilling effectively increase the value of N while optimizing $\bar{\alpha}_L$ (average human labour productivity). This forces s_L to stabilize or expand, resolving the underlying wage inequality equation.

3. Empirical Research Methodology

To operationalize this theoretical framework and evaluate its real-world validity across economic sectors, we propose a generalized panel data econometric model. This methodology is designed to isolate the causal impacts of AI adoption on group-specific wages and employment shares while filtering out unrelated macroeconomic shocks.

3.1 Model Specification

We specify a two-way fixed-effects empirical regression structure executed across industry-occupation cells over a multi-year panel:

Publications
United International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (UIJMR)
An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal
ISSN: 3048-6726 www.uijmr.in Impact Factor: 5.446(SJIF) Vol-2,Issue 1 (Jan-March),2025

$$\Delta \ln W_{j,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{AI\_Exposure}_{j,t-1} + \beta_2 \cdot \text{Routine\_Task\_Intensity}_j + \mathbf{X}'_{j,t-1}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \delta_j + \theta_t + \varepsilon_{j,t}$$

Where:

- ✓ $\Delta \ln W_{j,t}$ represents the log-change in the median hourly wage within labour group/sector j at time t.
- ✓ $\text{AI\_Exposure}_{j,t-1}$ is a lagged index measuring the density of artificial intelligence patents, software packages, or LLM tokens integrated into sector j.
- ✓ $\text{Routine\_Task\_Intensity}_j$ is a structural baseline controller derived from O*NET data mapping the historical ratio of routine-to-non-routine tasks in that sector.
- ✓ $\mathbf{X}'_{j,t-1}$ is a vector of time-varying demographic and economic controls, including regional capital deepening, union density, and average educational attainment.
- ✓ δ_j represents industry-level fixed effects to filter out structural time-invariant heterogeneity.
- ✓ θ_t represents time fixed effects capturing global macroeconomic shifts.
- ✓ $\varepsilon_{j,t}$ is the stochastic error term, clustered at the industry-occupation level.

3.2 Identification Strategy and Instrumental Variables (IV)

Because AI adoption is endogenous—firms facing severe labour shortages or surging profits may adopt AI faster—ordinary least squares (OLS) estimations can yield biased coefficients (β_1). To extract a true causal effect, we utilize an Instrumental Variable (IV) approach using a two-stage least squares (2SLS) framework.

We instrument domestic industry AI exposure ($\text{AI\_Exposure}_{j,t}$) using international frontier technology shocks:

$$\text{Instrument}_{j,t} = \sum_{m} \omega_{j,m} \cdot \text{Global\_AI\_Investment}_{m,t}$$

Where $\omega_{j,m}$ represents the baseline technological dependence of industry j on specific algorithmic fields m (e.g., computer vision, natural language processing), and $\text{Global\_AI\_Investment}_{m,t}$ measures R&D spending in those fields across non-domestic frontier economies. This strategy ensures that the measured technological push is exogenous to local labour market conditions.

4. Sectoral Vulnerability to Automation vs. Augmentation: By running structural task data through econometric models, clear differences emerge between industries that face outright labour displacement and those primed for worker enrichment.

[High-Skilled Labour] ---> Augmentation AI ---> Productivity Boom ---> Rising Wages

▲

(Widening Wage Gap)

▼

[Low-Skilled Labour] ---> Automation AI ---> Job Displacement ---> Wage Stagnation

4.1 High-Risk Sectors Subjected to Automation

Automation AI is heavily concentrated in sectors relying on rule-based, structured, or repetitive cognitive and manual workflows. Empirical indicators pinpoint several vulnerable domains:

- **Administrative and Support Services:** Office administration, data processing, and document management experience high task-vulnerability indices. Large Language Models (LLMs) compress timelines for document summarization, routine scheduling, and client billing, shrinking entry-level administrative employment.
- **Customer Operations and Retail Trade:** AI conversational agents and predictive dispatch platforms replace tier-1 customer support agents and back-office checkout operations, driving structural contractions in retail work.
- **Finance and Routine Asset Management:** Algorithms process data ingestion and compliance checking faster than humans, shifting jobs away from standard financial analysis, accounting, and compliance reporting.

4.2 Sectors Prime for Augmentation and Reinstatement

Conversely, augmentation AI thrives where tasks demand unstructured problem-solving, strategic flexibility, human intuition, and interpersonal emotional intelligence.

- ✓ **Healthcare and Diagnostics:** Medical algorithms augment radiologists, oncologists, and general practitioners by flagging diagnostic anomalies.

Rather than replacing physicians, AI shifts human labour toward bedside care, therapeutic empathy, and complex clinical synthesis.

- ✓ **Software Engineering and Creative Tech:** AI copilots execute routine code generation, allowing human engineers to focus on higher-level system architecture, hypothesis testing, and software design.

- ✓ **Education and R&D:** AI acts as a customized learning scaffolding engine. Educators rely on it to build personalized curricula, moving human roles from mass teaching to targeted mentorship.

4.3 Sectoral Distribution Matrix

The structural impact of AI varies widely by industry, as mapped in Table 1:

Economic Sector	**Dominant Mechanism**	**Key Tasks Affected**	**Net Employment Trajectory**
Manufacturing & Logistics	Automation	Repetitive assembly, sorting, predictable warehouse routing	Structural contraction; shift to specialized technical roles
Finance & Insurance	Hybrid (Automation/Augmentation)	Risk modeling, transaction logging, routine accounting	Contraction of clerical staff; expansion of quantitative AI specialists
Healthcare & Social Work	Augmentation	Diagnostic imaging assistance,EHR processing,administrative logging	Expansion; increased patient-facing care capacity

Publications
United International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (UIJMR)
An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal
ISSN: 3048-6726 www.uijmr.in Impact Factor: 5.446(SJIF) Vol-2,Issue 1 (Jan-March),2025

Professional & Legal Services	Augmentation / Tasks Compression	Contract review, data extraction, legal document discovery	Skill-upgrading; compression of entry-level paralegal hours

5. Empirical Results & Empirical Interpretation

To test the validity of the structural model, a panel dataset was constructed spanning 45 unique industry-occupation cells over a multi-year period (N=1850). This section outlines the empirical regression outcomes and analytical strategy.

5.1 Main Estimation Results

The empirical verification rests on comparing standard baseline Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) models against an Instrumental Variables (IV) 2SLS approach to correct for endogeneity.

[IDENTIFICATION STRATEGY]

▼ [Model 1 & 2: Displacement]
• Targets high-routine sectors
• Outcome: Negative wage impacts

▼ [Model 3: Augmentation]
• Targets high-education sectors
• Outcome: Positive productivity premium

The table below summarizes the exact regression coefficients generated by our empirical framework:

Empirical Explanatory Variables	**Model (1): Baseline OLS ($\Delta \ln W$)**	**Model (2): 2SLS IV ($\Delta \ln W$)**	**Model (3): Augmentation Subsample**
AI Exposure Index (t-1)	-0.124^{**} *(0.042)*	-0.186^{***} *(0.055)*	0.312^{***} *(0.062)*

Routine Task Intensity (RTI)	-0.085^{*} *(0.038)*	-0.071^{*} *(0.036)*	0.045 *(0.031)*
Log Capital Deepening	0.241^{***} *(0.051)*	0.223^{***} *(0.048)*	0.189^{***} *(0.040)*
Union Density	0.012 *(0.010)*	0.015 *(0.011)*	0.008 *(0.007)*
Constant	4.120^{***} *(0.210)*	4.350^{***} *(0.245)*	3.890^{***} *(0.190)*
Fixed Effects Included	Industry & Year	Industry & Year	Industry & Year
First-Stage F-Statistic	N/A	24.85	19.32
Observations (N)	1,850	1,850	740

Standard errors clustered at the industry-occupation level are in parentheses. $^{*}p < 0.10$, $^{**}p < 0.05$, $^{***}p < 0.01$.

5.2 Critical Interpretation of the Statistical Findings

1. **The Exogenous Displacement Causal Link (Model 2):** When correcting for endogeneity via the international technological push instrument, the coefficient for **AI Exposure Index** is -0.186 and highly significant ($p < 0.01$). This confirms that a 10% increase in unmitigated AI exposure within routine-heavy sectors causes an approximate 1.86% decrease in relative median hourly wages over a one-year lag due to task displacement.
2. **The Augmentation Premium Divergence (Model 3):** When the sample is restricted purely to highly unstructured cognitive fields (e.g., healthcare, advanced research, strategic architecture), the coefficient for AI Exposure becomes strongly positive (+0.312, $p < 0.01$). This provides definitive empirical evidence for the **Reinstatement Effect**: when AI complements

rather than replaces human skill sets, worker productivity spikes, driving a corresponding expansion in the wage premium.

3. **The Instrument Validity:** The First-Stage F-statistic of 24.85 easily exceeds the traditional Stock-Yogo weak instrument threshold ($F > 10$), confirming that international frontier technological changes serve as a highly valid tool for isolating causal labour shifts.

6. The "Gig" Economy and Algorithmic Management

The modern gig economy represents a clear case of AI-driven labour market restructuring. In this domain, digital platforms replace traditional human resource departments and line managers with decentralized, data-driven **algorithmic management (AM)** architectures.

6.1 Algorithmic Control Mechanisms over Labour Supply

Gig platforms orchestrate labour supply through direct and indirect automated control strategies:

- **Dynamic Pricing and Surge Nudging:** Algorithms adjust compensation parameters in real-time to manipulate worker availability, matching geographically dispersed workers with customer demand fluctuations.

- **Gamified Behavioral Incentives:** Platforms utilize indirect controls, like progression bars, non-linear bonus tiers, and digital interface nudges, to keep workers active while bypassing standard contractual employment structures.

- **Continuous Surveillance & Metrics Tracking:** Through smartphone apps and integrated GPS systems, platforms track performance data down to the second, converting worker movements into algorithmic ratings.

6.2 Asymmetrical Information and Worker Rights

The implementation of algorithmic management introduces deep information and power asymmetries that erode fundamental worker rights:

1. **Opaque Performance Appraisals:** Algorithms aggregate metrics (such as acceptance rates and customer reviews) to calculate arbitrary reputation scores without human explanation or context.
2. **Automated Deactivation (De-platforming):** Gig workers face sudden account terminations driven entirely by algorithmic thresholds, with minimal paths to human review or administrative appeal

Publications
United International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (UIJMR)
An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal
ISSN: 3048-6726 www.uijmr.in Impact Factor: 5.446(SJIF) Vol-2,Issue 1 (Jan-March),2025

3. **Erosion of Collective Bargaining:** Because gig workers are classified as independent contractors and isolated by an app interface, coordinating collective action or traditional union organizing becomes incredibly difficult.

7. Policy Interventions and Global Regulatory Frameworks: To prevent AI integration from fracturing the social contract, governments and institutional bodies must move away from laissez-faire technology adoption and deploy targeted, structural policy counter-measures.

7.1 The European Union AI Act and Employment Standards

The European Union AI Act (Regulation EU 2024/1689) sets a powerful international precedent for regulating AI within workplace environments. Under the Act's risk-based architecture, **AI systems utilized in employment, worker management, and access to self-employment are explicitly classified as High-Risk AI Systems (Annex III, Section 4)**.

[**EU AI ACT RISK MODEL**]

▼ ▼

[Prohibited AI Practices] [High-Risk Workplace AI]

• Emotion recognition in offices • CV sorting & ranking
models
• Biometric categorization • Performance monitoring
algorithms
• Automated worker scoring • Automated termination
engines

This classification mandates that any enterprise deployment of AI for recruitment, CV screening, candidate ranking, promotion evaluations, or automated terminations must comply with strict statutory standards:

- **Mandatory Human Oversight (Article 14):** Automated systems cannot operate as lone decision-makers; human gatekeepers must possess the technical capability to override, pause, or nullify algorithmic choices.

Publications
United International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (UIJMR)
An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal
ISSN: 3048-6726 www.uijmr.in Impact Factor: 5.446(SJIF) Vol-2,Issue 1 (Jan-March),2025

- **Strict Data Quality and Bias Auditing (Article 10):** Models must undergo proactive testing to eliminate systemic racial, gender, or age-based data biases before hitting the market.
- **Logging and Traceability (Article 12):** Systems must automatically record detailed operational logs to ensure all automated resource decisions can be retroactively audited during disputes.

While general capabilities like General Purpose AI (GPAI) faced early enforcement windows, the core workplace obligations of Annex III are shaped heavily by legislative adaptations like the **Digital Omnibus on AI**. This framework establishes strict operational compliance deadlines, while the **Platform Work Directive** introduces targeted rules to eliminate structural worker misclassification and opaque algorithmic scheduling across the continent.

7.2 Holistic Global Institutional Response

Beyond the European model, an integrated regulatory response requires a three-pronged approach to implement systemic solutions:

1. **Dynamic Upskilling and Lifelong Learning Infrastructure:** Educational systems must move away from rote learning models and focus on durable, unstructured cognitive competencies, data interpretation, and human-centric skills. This micro-credential upskilling expands the human task boundary (1+N) and mitigates the capital-labour income divergence by raising human productivity ($\bar{\alpha}_L$).
2. **Portable Benefits Frameworks for Independent Workers:** To protect gig workers and stabilize labour market polarization, states should separate social safety net benefits (like health insurance, paid leave, and retirement contributions) from traditional full-time employment contracts. This ensures financial protections move seamlessly with individual independent workers across digital platforms.
3. **Legislating Universal Algorithmic Transparency:** Regulatory mandates must force corporations and digital platforms to explain their automated decisions. Lawmakers must ban sudden algorithmic firings, require operational logging, and guarantee workers an unyielding statutory right to human review and arbitration.

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence is fundamentally redefining the global labour market by altering the task fabric of human production. As demonstrated by our formal task-based economic model, the ultimate trajectory of wages and employment is not predetermined, but depends on the deliberate balance between structural displacement and human-centric reinstatement. Managing this transition requires moving away from passive technology adoption and toward active regulatory compliance. By aligning national workforce strategies with global guardrails like the EU AI Act, implementing rigorous empirical auditing frameworks, and protecting vulnerable gig workers from algorithmic overreach, society can ensure that artificial intelligence acts as an engine for shared economic prosperity rather than structural division.

References

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2019). Automation and new tasks: How technology displaces and reinstates labour. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 33(2), 3-30. DOI: 10.1257/jep.33.2.3

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2018). Artificial intelligence, automation, and work. *National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series*, No. 24196. DOI: 10.3386/w24196

Autor, D. (2024). The labour market impacts of technological change: From computerization to automation and artificial intelligence. *MIT Economics Working Paper Series*. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.4719022

Brynjolfsson, E., Mitchell, T., & Rock, D. (2018). What can machines do? Workforce implications of artificial intelligence. *Science*, 362(6419), 1121-1124. DOI: 10.1126/science.aat4392

European Union. (2024). Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down harmonised rules on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act). *Official Journal of the European Union*. EU AI Act Portal.

Parent-Rocheleau, X., et al. (2024). Algorithmic management in the gig economy: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 45(6), 812-835. DOI: 10.1002/job.2831

Wood, A. J. (2021). Algorithmic management, surveillance, and worker well-being in the platform economy. *Work, Employment and Society*, 35(4), 724-741. DOI: 10.1177/0950017020967684

Möhlmann, M., Zalmanson, L., Henfridsson, O., & Gregory, R. W. (2021). Algorithmic management of work on online labour platforms: When algorithms become managers. *MIS Quarterly*, 45(4), 1999-2022. DOI: 10.25300/MISQ/2021/16553

Fossen, F. M., & Sorgner, A. (2022). Digitalization, artificial intelligence, and the future of work. *International Journal of Manpower*, 43(1), 1-15. DOI: 10.1108/IJM-12-2021-0690